

# Current Platform Proposal & Vendor Due-Diligence Package

CapchaCloud identity, consent, signing, screening, transaction evidence and Chargeback Armor for VeraBank, N.A.

*Confidential — prepared for VeraBank, N.A. vendor evaluation. Not for further distribution without CapchaCloud's consent.*

|                                    |                                                              |                                           |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| EVIDENCE<br>Tamper-evident records | OVERLAY<br>Non-blocking, additive                            | VERIFIABLE<br>By any third party, offline |
| Prepared for                       | VeraBank, N.A. — Compliance / Vendor Risk Review             |                                           |
| Prepared by                        | CapchaCloud (a ServiceAutomations.ai product)                |                                           |
| Date                               | July 29, 2026                                                |                                           |
| Status                             | Confidential discussion draft — vendor due-diligence package |                                           |

Proposal premise: VeraBank keeps its existing channels, controls, decision engines, processor, core and adjudication authority. CapchaCloud adds a separate, non-blocking evidence plane after or alongside each event.

## 1. Executive summary

CapchaCloud does not ask VeraBank to replace its website, digital banking provider, CRM, account-opening platform, Positive Pay service, fraud models, identity provider, processor or core. VeraBank continues to make every business and risk decision, including dispute adjudication. At an in-scope event, VeraBank can use a widget, make one authenticated API call, call the typed SDK, receive a signed webhook, or use authorized MCP administration. CapchaCloud returns a durable evidence reference that VeraBank stores beside its existing record.

### WRITTEN FOR COMPLIANCE REVIEW

This package is written for compliance review first. It states plainly what CapchaCloud is certified for and what it is not, what data it needs and what it is designed never to receive, and how VeraBank's third-party risk obligations map onto the engagement. CapchaCloud's product premise is verifiable honesty — the same posture governs this proposal. Section 10 is a candid register of our known gaps, stated before VeraBank's diligence finds them, each with the compensating control we propose.

This document is organized into fourteen sections: vendor and product information (Sections 2–4), the regulatory, data-governance, security, resilience and third-party-risk sections written specifically for compliance review (Sections 5–10), and architecture, commercial terms, implementation plan and sources (Sections 11–14).

### Three headline facts for compliance review

- **Data minimization by design:** the pilot pattern uses VeraBank-generated pseudonymous references and content hashes. Account numbers, SSNs, card data, credentials and balances are not required and are contractually out of scope.
- **Evidence survivability:** every sealed record can be exported as a self-contained bundle and verified offline with a published zero-dependency script — the evidentiary value of VeraBank's records does not depend on CapchaCloud's continued existence. This directly addresses vendor-exit risk.
- **Non-blocking:** default integration is asynchronous and fail-open; a CapchaCloud outage cannot stop a VeraBank customer journey.

## 2. Vendor profile

Factual vendor identification for the diligence file. No claims beyond what is stated below.

| Field                        | Value                                                                                                                                                                            |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Product                      | CapchaCloud — proof infrastructure platform (CapchaID, consent evidence, CapchaSign, CapchaVerify, CapchaShield, transaction/ISO 20022 sealing, and dispute evidence automation) |
| Operator                     | ServiceAutomations.ai                                                                                                                                                            |
| Principal contact            | Adam Woodward, Founder                                                                                                                                                           |
| Infrastructure               | 100% Cloudflare (Workers, D1, R2, KV, Queues) — no self-operated servers or data centers                                                                                         |
| Intellectual property        | Multiple U.S. provisional patent applications filed (2026) covering the evidence-sealing and verification methods                                                                |
| Public verification surfaces | Live transparency endpoint, public chain explorer, downloadable offline verifiers — available to VeraBank's reviewers today, before any engagement                               |
| Corporate/financial detail   | Provided directly at the discovery session (entity documents, ownership, financial condition)                                                                                    |

CapchaCloud is an early-stage vendor and this package does not pretend otherwise. Section 8 (exit strategy) and Section 10 (gaps register) address the risk questions that fact properly raises.

### 3. Services description

Independent of Chargeback Armor (Section 4), CapchaCloud's capabilities are available individually as a per-use-case services menu. Every action is metered in a single credit currency, so VeraBank can adopt one service, several or all of them without a separate contract for each.

| Service                                    | What it does                                                                                                                                                        | Where it fits at VeraBank                                                          | Unit price                                                         |
|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Consent & disclosure evidence              | Seals the exact disclosure or authorization language presented, together with origin and available identity/device context                                          | Marketing consent (TCPA), e-sign consent, account disclosures, treasury agreements | 6 credits (≈\$0.03) per certificate                                |
| CapchaSign e-signature                     | Envelope lifecycle with templates, fields, sequential signing, identity tiers, optional pay-at-signing/video consent, sealed output and public verification         | Loan documents, account agreements, treasury onboarding                            | 100 credits (≈\$0.50) per completed envelope                       |
| CapchalD authentication                    | Social OAuth, email/password, magic link, email OTP, TOTP 2FA, sessions and JWKS/JWT, with auth evidence linked to the proof chain                                  | Employee, customer or merchant authentication and session disputes                 | 1 credit (≈\$0.005) per session                                    |
| ISO 20022 message sealing                  | Seals pacs.008 etc. into tamper-evident evidence; UETR-scoped corroboration when the pacs.002/.004 comes back                                                       | Wire evidence, FedNow readiness, payment-investigation files                       | 10 credits (≈\$0.05) per message                                   |
| Native screening (CapchaVerify)            | Sanctions-list screen, device signals, transaction monitoring — native, no vendor license. Explicitly NOT certified KYC                                             | Pre-screen before certified checks; ongoing monitoring evidence                    | 10 credits (≈\$0.05) per screen; media checks 30 credits (≈\$0.15) |
| Certified KYC orchestration (CapchaShield) | Runs checks through VeraBank's OWN contracted vendor (Sumsb/Jumio/etc. — bring-your-own credentials), seals the outcome as evidence; vendor bills VeraBank directly | Account opening, CIP files, case management                                        | 70 credits (≈\$0.35) per orchestrated check + vendor's own fee     |
| Dispute / defense packets                  | Matches a dispute to a sealed record and generates a human-readable and machine-readable evidence package for an authorized case owner                              | Reg E files, chargeback representment preparation, counsel review                  | Included with sealed records                                       |
| Public verification                        | Anyone — auditor, examiner, opposing counsel — can verify a record's integrity with no login and no PII exposure; offline verifier scripts published                | Vendor-risk review, examiner requests                                              | Free, public                                                       |

Note: every action above is metered in one credit currency on one bill. Plan tiers range from \$0/month (500 credits) to Enterprise plans from \$999/month (250,000+ credits, with volume discounts at scale). Credits are sold in prepaid packs that never expire; there is no metered surprise overage.

#### Agent-native operations

CapchaCloud also exposes an authenticated remote MCP (Model Context Protocol) control surface for machine-to-machine administration. Current public integration materials describe tenant provisioning, branding, sites, exports, SSO/SCIM configuration, ConsentWallet, ISO 20022, CapchaShield and audit-chain operations. The proposal intentionally does not quote a fixed tool count because the control surface changes as the platform ships.

### 4. Chargeback Armor: evidence generated and routed when a transaction is disputed

Chargeback Armor closes the loop between transaction-time evidence and dispute operations. Every covered transaction receives a durable record reference. When VeraBank or its processor reports a dispute, Armor correlates the case to that record, assembles a reason-code-aware package and routes it to the authorized case owner. VeraBank, the merchant, its processor or counsel performs any network, Nacha, court or regulatory submission.

#### THE OPERATING MODEL

Transaction record in -> dispute event received -> evidence matched -> packet generated -> authorized owner reviews/submits -> outcome recorded. VeraBank keeps its processor, card platform, case system and adjudication authority.

## Automated dispute workflow

| Stage       | Input                                                                           | Chargeback Armor action                                                                | Output                                                                      |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Seal     | Processor transaction reference, terms, authentication and fulfillment evidence | Create tamper-evident record and return record_id                                      | Record ID stored beside VeraBank transaction                                |
| 2. Detect   | Dispute-opened webhook or case feed with case ID, reason code and deadline      | Match transaction and validate tenant/merchant ownership                               | Matched case or exception queue                                             |
| 3. Assemble | Sealed record plus permitted supporting artifacts                               | Select evidence relevant to the reason code and create packet manifest                 | Human-readable packet plus machine-readable evidence                        |
| 4. Route    | Authorized VeraBank, merchant, processor or counsel destination                 | Deliver by API, signed webhook or structured export; retry transient delivery failures | Submission-ready packet, timestamp, packet hash and delivery acknowledgment |
| 5. Record   | Outcome supplied by the authorized case owner or processor feed                 | Attach the outcome to the evidence trail when provided                                 | Portfolio reporting and audit trail                                         |

## Two distinct VeraBank opportunities

| Lane                          | What is disputed                                                                                               | Chargeback Armor role                                                                                                                                              |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Issuer-side debit disputes    | A VeraBank customer disputes a debit-card transaction through digital banking or customer service.             | Attach the sealed transaction and authentication record to VeraBank's investigation/network case. Armor does not override Regulation E or VeraBank's adjudication. |
| Merchant-services chargebacks | A cardholder at another issuing bank disputes a transaction accepted by a VeraBank merchant-services customer. | Create the representment evidence packet; the merchant, VeraBank or its processor performs the authorized submission.                                              |

## Corrected VeraBank scale

VeraBank publicly reports one bank with 42 branches, 550+ employees and \$4.4 billion in assets - not 42 or 43 separate banks. Dispute volume should be modeled from active cards, merchants and transactions rather than branch count. Five thousand annual disputes would equal only 417 per month across the company, so it is too low to serve as the central combined issuer-plus-merchant scenario.

| Illustrative active debit cards | Transactions/card/month | Annual transactions | Disputes at 0.05%-0.20% |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 50,000                          | 25                      | 15 million          | 7,500-30,000            |
| 100,000                         | 25                      | 30 million          | 15,000-60,000           |
| 150,000                         | 25                      | 45 million          | 22,500-90,000           |

*Issuer-side sensitivity only. VeraBank does not publicly disclose active debit cards, transaction volume or dispute rate; every input above is an assumption for discovery.*

| Illustrative merchants | Transactions/merchant/month | Annual transactions | Chargebacks at 0.15%-0.40% |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 500                    | 1,500                       | 9 million           | 13,500-36,000              |
| 1,500                  | 1,500                       | 27 million          | 40,500-108,000             |
| 3,000                  | 1,500                       | 54 million          | 81,000-216,000             |

*Merchant-side sensitivity only. The underlying processor may handle many cases without VeraBank staff involvement; discovery must obtain total processor disputes, not only internal escalations.*

## Recommended planning range and value illustration

Until VeraBank supplies portfolio data, use 20,000 annual disputes as a low case, 50,000-100,000 as the central planning range, and 150,000+ as a high-volume sensitivity. At a 75,000-dispute midpoint, saving 15 minutes per case at \$35 per loaded labor hour produces \$656,250 in annual labor value. An additional \$20 recovered per dispute adds \$1.5 million, for approximately \$2.16 million of illustrative annual value before platform, integration and vendor-management costs.

| Pilot measure                          | Target       | Proof                                                      |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| Disputes matched automatically         | 70-90%       | Processor case ID linked to the correct sealed transaction |
| Complete packets ready before deadline | >=99%        | Packet-ready timestamp before the applicable deadline      |
| Manual evidence-assembly time          | 50-80% lower | Timed baseline vs. automated packet sample                 |
| Evidence completeness                  | >=95%        | Reason-code checklist present before release               |
| Incorrect record matches               | <1%          | Case audit comparing the dispute and sealed transaction    |

**LAST-MILE REQUIREMENT**

CapchaCloud assembles, verifies and routes evidence. It does not submit directly to Visa, Mastercard, Nacha, a court or a regulator. Final submission remains with VeraBank, the merchant, its processor or counsel, including where a provider exposes only a portal.

## 5. Regulatory mapping

CapchaCloud provides recordkeeping and evidence infrastructure. It does not provide legal advice, does not adjudicate disputes, and does not replace any VeraBank compliance obligation. The table below maps where sealed evidence supports VeraBank's existing obligations.

| Regulation / framework                                | VeraBank's obligation (summary)                                                                                              | How CapchaCloud evidence supports it                                                                                                                                                                       | What CapchaCloud does NOT do                                                                                  |
|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| E-SIGN Act / UETA                                     | Electronic signatures and records valid when consent and attribution requirements are met                                    | CapchaSign captures signer consent-to-e-sign, attribution evidence, identity-assurance tier, document/field state and a sealed completion certificate with public verification                             | Does not opine on enforceability of any specific instrument                                                   |
| Regulation E (12 CFR Part 1005) error resolution      | Investigate alleged errors within required timeframes; document findings                                                     | Chargeback Armor assembles the sealed transaction, authentication and consent evidence for the investigation file and records delivery/outcomes when provided                                              | Does not decide claims; adjudication and all Reg E determinations remain entirely VeraBank's                  |
| Card-network dispute rules (merchant services)        | Representment evidence per network reason codes and deadlines                                                                | Reason-code-aware packet assembly from sealed transaction-time evidence, routed to VeraBank, the merchant or its processor                                                                                 | Does not submit directly to Visa, Mastercard, Nacha or another network                                        |
| TCPA / FTC Telemarketing Sales Rule                   | Prior express written consent where required; TSR record retention (5 years)                                                 | Consent certificates seal the exact disclosure presented, identity fields, origin forensics, and a dual bot-screen verdict; 5-year retention floor, billing-independent                                    | Does not determine which consent standard applies to a given campaign                                         |
| FRE 902(11)/(13)/(14) (evidence posture)              | Records of regularly conducted activity; records generated by an electronic process; data authenticated by hash verification | Defense packets and evidence bundles are structured to support self-authentication workflows, including hash-verification affidavit support (902(14))                                                      | Admissibility is always the court's determination; CapchaCloud supplies the verifiable record, not the ruling |
| GLBA Safeguards (vendor dimension)                    | Oversee service providers; appropriate safeguards for customer information                                                   | Pilot design keeps NPI out of scope entirely via pseudonymous references; where production scope later includes NPI categories, encryption, access controls, and retention locks apply                     | Not a substitute for VeraBank's information-security program                                                  |
| BSA/AML                                               | CIP/KYC, screening, monitoring per VeraBank's program                                                                        | CapchaShield orchestrates checks through VeraBank's OWN contracted vendors and seals outcomes as evidence; CapchaVerify native screens are explicitly not certified KYC and are labeled as such in-product | CapchaCloud is not a BSA program component and does not perform certified identity verification itself        |
| Interagency Third-Party Relationships guidance (2023) | Risk-based lifecycle management of third parties                                                                             | Section 9 of this document maps the full lifecycle; CapchaCloud commits to due-diligence support, contractual audit rights, and termination/export provisions                                              | —                                                                                                             |

## 6. Data governance & data flows

### What CapchaCloud receives

Three integration patterns govern what reaches CapchaCloud: asynchronous API calls made after VeraBank has already accepted or created an event; synchronous API calls made before workflow routing; and a browser widget used at a public form or consent moment. Section 11 (Integration modes) describes the customer-impact and recommended use of each pattern in full.

- Pilot data rule: use VeraBank-generated pseudonymous event and party references; the mapping stays inside VeraBank. The sample payload in Section 11 intentionally omits account number, SSN, credentials, balances, and payment-card data.
- What never leaves CapchaCloud to external anchors: only cryptographic hashes are sent to the RFC-3161 timestamp authority and OpenTimestamps/Bitcoin calendar servers — no record content, no PII, no VeraBank identifiers.

### Storage & encryption

Evidence objects are held in retention-locked (WORM) object storage with provider-managed AES-256 encryption at rest; TLS protects data in transit. Metadata is held in a relational store. Primary storage is in U.S. Cloudflare regions (current production primary: United States; residency constraints should be confirmed at discovery). Processing occurs at the Cloudflare global edge.

### Retention

A five-year retention floor applies and is independent of billing status. Legal holds extend retention indefinitely. Retention is enforced by the storage layer through compliance retention locks, not by application logic alone.

### Subprocessors

| Subprocessor                                            | Role                                | Data scope                                                       |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cloudflare                                              | Infrastructure, storage and compute | All hosted evidence data and metadata                            |
| Stripe                                                  | Billing only                        | No evidence data; payment and subscription information only      |
| Transactional email provider                            | Delivery of invites and notices     | Recipient email address and message content of the notice itself |
| RFC-3161 timestamp authority + OpenTimestamps calendars | Independent timestamp anchoring     | Cryptographic hashes only                                        |

*Full subprocessor list with roles is provided at contracting; subprocessor changes are subject to notice.*

### Data subject / consumer surface

Public verification endpoints return integrity status without PII. Consumer-facing wallet, export and revocation-request surfaces exist for consent records.

## 7. Information security program

Encryption: TLS in transit (Cloudflare edge), AES-256 at rest (object storage), API credentials stored hashed; secrets in the platform secret store, never in code or client assets.

Tamper evidence: Append-only hash-chained audit log (fork-proof CAS design), records folded into Ed25519-signed Merkle blocks, dual-anchored (RFC-3161 TSA + Bitcoin via OpenTimestamps), public transparency endpoint, public chain explorer, downloadable zero-dependency offline verifiers.

Software assurance: 1,400+ automated tests, CI-gated releases, CI-gated OpenAPI contract, automated dependency monitoring (Dependabot) with report-only vulnerability audit in CI, scheduled production end-to-end smoke checks, top-level error-boundary alerting.

Access control: Role-based tenant model; operator (superadmin) access restricted by allowlist; per-tenant API keys hashed at rest, rotatable; rate limiting on every write route (atomic, Durable-Object-backed) with per-tenant caps.

Security posture: Public materials describe TLS 1.2+, HSTS, encrypted Cloudflare storage, hashed API keys, HMAC-signed webhooks, replay controls, incident response and a published trust surface. VeraBank should verify each control and request supporting evidence during diligence.

Vulnerability disclosure: Security contact published; issues acknowledged and tracked to remediation.

Stated plainly: CapchaCloud's public materials do not provide a SOC 2 report or certification, and do not cite an independent third-party penetration-test report. VeraBank should require current evidence during diligence rather than treating roadmap language as certification. See Section 10 for the full gaps register and proposed compensating controls.

## 8. Business continuity, resilience & exit strategy

Platform resilience: All services run on Cloudflare's global anycast network (300+ points of presence); no single self-operated server exists in the architecture.

Backup/recovery: Point-in-time recovery on the metadata store (30-day window); object storage designed for eleven-nines durability; queue-based webhook delivery with retry and dead-letter capture.

Availability transparency: Public status page with uptime history and incident log; proposed pilot availability target 99.9% monthly for API endpoints (formal SLA at production contracting).

Fail-open default: Integration is asynchronous; an outage degrades evidence capture into a VeraBank-controlled retry queue, never a blocked customer.

### Exit strategy

#### EXIT STRATEGY — LEAD WITH THIS

Sealed records can be exported with integrity material for independent verification. Public certificates, the chain explorer and offline verification reduce dependency on the CapchaCloud dashboard. Contracting should define complete export, retention and transition assistance so VeraBank can preserve already-sealed evidence if the relationship ends.

## 9. Third-party risk management alignment

This section maps the engagement onto the interagency third-party relationship risk-management lifecycle.

| Lifecycle stage    | What VeraBank needs                          | What CapchaCloud provides                                                                                                                                                                           |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Planning           | Risk assessment of the proposed relationship | This document; pilot scoped to non-NPI pseudonymous data; fail-open architecture                                                                                                                    |
| Due diligence      | Vendor evaluation evidence                   | Security questionnaire support; live public transparency/verification surfaces reviewable today; entity/financial documents at discovery; references as the customer base matures (stated honestly) |
| Contracting        | Enforceable protections                      | Audit rights; data-scope schedule (what may and may not be transmitted); SLA; breach notification; termination/export provisions; subprocessor notice                                               |
| Ongoing monitoring | Continuing oversight                         | Public status page + uptime history; live transparency endpoint; quarterly service reviews; incident notification commitments                                                                       |
| Termination        | Orderly exit                                 | Full evidence export; offline verifiability independent of vendor; retention survivability                                                                                                          |

CapchaCloud enters production only after VeraBank's risk, legal, information-security, and privacy teams approve the intended data flow.

## 10. Known gaps & compensating controls

This is a candid register of CapchaCloud's known gaps as of the date of this proposal, stated before VeraBank's diligence finds them.

| Gap                          | Status stated plainly                                                                                              | Compensating control / roadmap                                                                                                                     |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SOC 2 examination            | No report or certification is provided in current public materials; the roadmap describes an engagement as planned | Pilot scoped to pseudonymous, non-NPI data; VeraBank obtains direct evidence and contract commitments before production                            |
| Independent penetration test | No independent report is cited in current public materials                                                         | Require current test scope, remediation evidence and retest results during diligence; keep pilot non-sensitive and shadow-mode                     |
| CapchaSign legal posture     | Sealed records strengthen attribution and integrity but do not guarantee enforceability                            | VeraBank and counsel approve the document classes, consent language, identity tier and retention policy                                            |
| Operating history            | Early-stage vendor; limited production track record and reference base                                             | Exit-strategy design (Section 8): evidence remains verifiable without the vendor; month-to-month terms; no lock-in                                 |
| Insurance                    | E&O/cyber coverage not yet bound; procurement planned before production go-live                                    | Pilot carries no NPI and is fail-open; coverage certificates provided at production contracting                                                    |
| Team depth                   | Small team; key-person concentration                                                                               | Fully managed infrastructure (no servers to maintain), infrastructure-as-code, documented runbooks, and the evidence survivability guarantee above |

### WHY DISCLOSE THIS HERE

We would rather VeraBank read this table here than discover it in diligence. Every row has a date-able roadmap item, and pilot scope is designed so that none of these gaps exposes VeraBank data or operations while they close.

## 11. Architecture & integration

### A separate evidence plane, not a replacement platform

The deployment pattern is intentionally additive. VeraBank retains the customer journey, source systems, risk engines and final decisions. CapchaCloud receives only the minimum event material needed to create or verify evidence.

|                                                                  |                                                                        |                                                          |                                                                 |                                                                   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1<br>Customer channel<br>Existing VeraBank site, app or operator | 2<br>Existing workflow<br>Form, login, decision or payment instruction | 3<br>One API call<br>Signed, idempotent, minimal payload | 4<br>Evidence plane<br>Screen, hash, timestamp, chain and store | 5<br>Existing record<br>Store record ID in CRM, core or case tool |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|

*Logical architecture. CapchaCloud is not in the funds path and does not execute, approve, block, reverse or settle transactions.*

### Integration modes

| Mode            | Where it fits                                     | Customer impact          | Recommended use                                                    |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Widget          | At authentication, public form or consent moments | Small client integration | CapchalD and supported consent/proof flows                         |
| API + typed SDK | From VeraBank services and workflow engines       | Network call only        | Transaction, signing, screening and ISO 20022 evidence             |
| Signed webhooks | Back into VeraBank systems                        | None                     | HMAC-SHA256 delivery, logs, replay and downstream case updates     |
| Remote MCP      | Authorized operator and automation workflows      | None                     | Tenant administration, configuration, exports and audit operations |

### Technical integration: one call, one receipt

CapchaCloud publishes an OpenAPI 3.1 contract. Authenticated write endpoints accept a Bearer token or X-API-Key. Write calls support an Idempotency-Key header with a 24-hour replay window, allowing VeraBank to retry without intentionally creating duplicate records.

## Illustrative transaction-evidence call

```
POST https://capchacloud.com/api/v1/txn/seal
Authorization: Bearer ${CAPCHA.CLOUD_API_KEY}
Idempotency-Key: verabank:event:8d2c1f...
Content-Type: application/json

{
  "rail": "internal",
  "rail_ref": "vb_evt_8d2c1f...",
  "terms": "Exact authorization language presented by VeraBank",
  "include_terms": false,
  "parties": [
    {
      "role": "customer",
      "human_verified": true,
      "identity_assurance_level": "authenticated"
    }
  ]
}
```

CapchaCloud seals the event and returns the API result defined by the OpenAPI contract. VeraBank stores the returned record reference alongside vb\_evt\_8d2c1f.... Public verification endpoints can later confirm integrity without returning PII. The example intentionally omits account number, SSN, credentials, balances and payment-card data.

## Core API surface relevant to VeraBank

| Endpoint                          | Purpose                                                                                  | Suggested bank use                                       |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| CapchalD auth + JWKS routes       | OAuth, email/password, magic link, OTP, TOTP 2FA, sessions and JWT verification material | Employee, customer or merchant authentication            |
| POST /api/v1/verify-lead          | Email/phone quality, suppression and consent-oriented checks                             | Public business-banking or treasury inquiries            |
| POST /api/v1/txn/seal             | Seal terms, parties and a pseudonymous rail reference                                    | Authorization, exception or operational-decision receipt |
| POST /api/v1/iso20022/seal        | Seal exact ISO 20022 bytes in vaulted or hash-only mode                                  | Payment-message evidence outside the payment rail        |
| CapchaSign envelope routes        | Create, send, sign and complete envelopes with fields and identity tiers                 | Internal or customer document workflows                  |
| CapchaShield case/evidence routes | Run BYO-vendor checks, manage cases and export evidence packages                         | KYC/AML program evidence and examiner files              |
| POST /api/v1/defense-packet       | Create an evidence memo for a record                                                     | Case handling, counsel review and complaint response     |

## Operational behavior

- Default pilot behavior is non-blocking: if CapchaCloud is unavailable, VeraBank's workflow continues and the event enters a VeraBank-controlled retry queue.
- For evidence-mandatory workflows, VeraBank may choose a hold-for-review policy; CapchaCloud does not impose that policy.
- Webhook events are HMAC-SHA256 signed and can be replayed from the delivery log.
- Origin allowlists, per-tenant API keys and separate sandbox credentials constrain the integration boundary.
- Secrets remain in VeraBank's secret manager and must never be placed in browser code or logs.

## 12. Proposed 60-day pilot and commercial terms

The pilot is designed to prove incremental value with minimal operational risk. Scope starts with a channel that does not collect sensitive banking information, run in shadow mode.

### Pilot scope - select one or two lanes

- (a) Chargeback Armor in shadow mode on one dispute feed - issuer-side debit disputes, or one merchant-services portfolio.
- (b) Consent/disclosure evidence on one public business-banking intake form.
- (c) CapchaSign on one internal or low-risk document flow.

| Phase            | Timing     | Work                                                                                                     | Exit gate                                         |
|------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Due diligence | Days 1-10  | Data map, DPA, subprocessor review, security evidence, retention decision and success-metric baseline    | Written approval for sandbox/shadow scope         |
| 2. Integration   | Days 11-20 | Create sandbox tenant, allowlist domain, add widget or API call, register webhook and instrument metrics | End-to-end test with synthetic records            |
| 3. Shadow mode   | Days 21-35 | Score and seal events without changing customer routing; compare output to existing outcomes             | False-positive and latency thresholds met         |
| 4. Limited live  | Days 36-55 | Enable routing or challenge policy for a controlled percentage of traffic                                | No material CX or operational regression          |
| 5. Decision      | Days 56-60 | Measure abuse reduction, evidence coverage, labor savings, incidents and total cost                      | Scale, revise or remove with documented rationale |

### Pilot scorecard

- Quality baseline vs. pilot: accepted abuse and downstream outcomes before and during the pilot.
- Verified bot outcomes: automated/abusive submissions correctly identified and stopped.
- Downstream rejection rate: legitimate submissions incorrectly challenged or rejected.
- Dispute match rate: share of disputes automatically matched to a sealed transaction record.
- Packet turnaround time: elapsed time from dispute event to a complete packet ready for authorized review/submission.

### Commercial terms

| Item                | Terms                                                                                                                                        |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 60-day pilot        | \$4,900 flat. Includes up to 100,000 sealed events, integration support, and a named success scorecard agreed before start.                  |
| Production          | Enterprise plan from \$999/month (250,000 credits included), plus credit usage per the services menu (Section 3). Volume discounts at scale. |
| No lock-in          | Cancel anytime. Evidence already sealed remains verifiable and exportable; the five-year retention floor survives cancellation.              |
| No surprise billing | No metered overage. Prepaid credit packs only, never expiring.                                                                               |
| Contract provisions | Audit rights, breach notification, data-scope schedule, subprocessor notice, termination/export — all included in the pilot agreement.       |
| Availability        | 99.9% monthly API availability target during pilot; formal SLA at production contracting.                                                    |

### 13. Implementation plan & next step

The implementation plan below sequences the discovery, sandbox-integration and shadow-mode work already scoped in Section 12 into a compliance-reviewable timeline.

| Timing    | Milestone                                                  | Work                                                                                                                                  |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Week 0    | Discovery & vendor-risk session (compliance, infosec, ops) | Select workflow(s); agree data-scope schedule and pseudonymization convention; baseline metrics. No production banking data required. |
| Weeks 1–2 | Sandbox integration                                        | VeraBank test tenant; sample payloads; verification walkthrough with VeraBank's own reviewers running the offline verifier.           |
| Weeks 3–8 | Shadow-mode pilot                                          | Sealed evidence runs parallel to existing operations; weekly metrics against the agreed scorecard.                                    |
| Week 9    | Scorecard review                                           | Go/no-go on production contracting with full legal/vendor-risk terms.                                                                 |

**DECISION REQUESTED**  
Authorize the Week-0 discovery session to select one workflow, establish baseline metrics, and determine whether a sandbox pilot is appropriate. No production banking data is required for that decision.

## 14. Sources and method

External statistics are included to establish market context. CapchaCloud-specific capabilities are drawn from its published product, OpenAPI, trust and evidence materials. The fraud-reduction percentages in this proposal are explicitly labeled pilot targets or sensitivity assumptions.

1. Federal Trade Commission. FTC Data Show People Reported Losing \$3.5 Billion to Imposter Scams in 2025. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/news/press-releases/2026/06/ftc-data-show-people-reported-losing-3-point-5-billion-imposter-scams-2025>
2. Federal Bureau of Investigation. 2025 IC3 Annual Report. Accessed July 29, 2026. [https://www.ic3.gov/AnnualReport/Reports/2025\\_IC3Report.pdf](https://www.ic3.gov/AnnualReport/Reports/2025_IC3Report.pdf)
3. Association for Financial Professionals. 2025 Payments Fraud and Control Survey press release. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.afponline.org/about/learn-more/press-releases/Details/survey-79-percent-of-organizations-were-victims-of-attempted-or-actual-payments-fraud-activity-in-2024>
4. Federal Reserve Financial Services. 2024 Financial Institution Risk Officer Survey - Key Findings. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.frbfinancialservices.org/binaries/content/assets/crsocms/news/research/2024-risk-officer-survey-results.pdf>
5. VeraBank. Positive Pay. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.verabank.com/Business/Banking-Services/Positive-Pay>
6. VeraBank. Fraud alerts and controls. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.verabank.com/Resources/Security/Fraud>
7. VeraBank. Company profile: 42 branches, 550+ employees and \$4.4 billion in assets. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.verabank.com/Resources/Education-Learning/News-Articles/News/verabank-expands-central-texas-presence-with-opening-of-full-service-branch-in-avery-ranch>
8. VeraBank. Merchant Services. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.verabank.com/Business/Banking-Services/Merchant-Services>
9. VeraBank. Online Banking: debit-card disputes. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.verabank.com/Personal/Banking-Services/Online-Banking>
10. VeraBank. What are Chargebacks and How to Minimize Them. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.verabank.com/Resources/Education-Learning/News-Articles/Resources/what-are-chargebacks-and-how-to-minimize-them>
11. CapchaCloud. Current product overview. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/>
12. CapchaCloud. OpenAPI specification. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/openapi.json>
13. CapchaCloud. Integration guide. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/integrate.md>
14. CapchaCloud. Published product facts. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/FACTS.md>
15. CapchaCloud. Pricing and unified credits. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/pricing.html>
16. CapchaCloud. Product changelog. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/changelog.html>
17. CapchaCloud. Roadmap. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/roadmap.html>
18. CapchaCloud. CapchaVerify. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/capcha-verify.html>
19. CapchaCloud. CapchaShield. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/capcha-shield.html>
20. CapchaCloud. CapchaBanking. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/capcha-banking.html>
21. CapchaCloud. Trust Center. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/trust-center.html>
22. CapchaCloud. Data Processing Addendum. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://capchacloud.com/dpa.html>
23. Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. OCC Bulletin 2023-17: Interagency Guidance on Third-Party Relationships. Accessed July 29, 2026. <https://www.occ.treas.gov/news-issuances/bulletins/2023/bulletin-2023-17.html>

### Important limitations

- CapchaCloud is evidence-oriented infrastructure; it does not guarantee legal, regulatory or fraud outcomes.
- Industry statistics do not estimate VeraBank's fraud exposure or loss experience.
- Modeled savings exclude implementation, vendor-management, security-review and internal change-management costs.
- Production use is subject to VeraBank's due diligence, legal review, data-governance requirements and contract terms.
- This proposal is technical and commercial information, not legal advice.